

Local Government Reform – Summary of Proposed Reforms



Local government benefits all Western Australians. It is critical that local government works with:

- a culture of openness to innovation and change
- · continuous focus on the effective delivery of services
- respectful and constructive policy debate and democratic decision-making
- an environment of transparency and accountability to ensure effective public engagement on important community decisions.

Since first coming to office in 2017, the McGowan Government has already progressed reforms to improve specific aspects of local government performance. This includes new laws that work to improve transparency, cut red tape, and support jobs growth and economic development - ensuring that local government works for the benefit of local communities.

Based on the significant volume of research and consultation undertaken over the past five years, the Minister for Local Government has now announced the most significant package of major reforms to local government in Western Australia since the Local Government Act 1995 was passed more than 25 years ago. The package is based on six major themes:

- 1. Earlier intervention, effective regulation and stronger penalties
- 2. Reducing red tape, increasing consistency and simplicity
- 3. Greater transparency and accountability
- 4. Stronger local democracy and community engagement
- 5. Clear roles and responsibilities
- 6. Improved financial management and reporting.

A large focus on the new reform is oversight and intervention where there are significant problems arising within a local government. The introduction of new intermediate powers for intervention will increase the number of tools available to more quickly address problems and dysfunction within local governments. The proposed system for early intervention has been developed based on similar legislation in place in other jurisdictions, including Victoria and Queensland.

This will deliver significant benefits for small business, residents and ratepayers, industry, elected members and professionals working in the sector.

Local Government Reforms

These reforms are based on extensive consultation undertaken over the last five years, and have been developed considering:

- The Local Government Review Panel Final Report (mid 2020)
- The City of Perth Inquiry Report (mid 2020)
- Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries (DLGSC) consultation on Act Reform (2017-2020)
- The Victorian Local Government Act 2020 and other State Acts
- The Parliament's Select Committee Report into Local Government (late 2020)
- Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) Submissions
- Direct engagement with local governments
- Correspondence and complaints
- Miscellaneous past reports.

Consultation

Comments on these proposed reforms are invited. Comments can be made against each proposed reform in this document. For details on how to make a submission, please visit www.dlgsc.wa.gov.au/lgactreform.

The Shire of Yalgoo would like to note that there is no proposal to review the following, some of which are positions that WALGA and the sector has been attempting to seek reform or consideration of for some time. As a local government we hope that WALGA and other industry bodies such as LG Professionals are engaged to help deliver operationally practical outcomes.

No change to rating exemptions is proposed in these reforms. The Shire is responsible for many historic or soon to be historic buildings such as churches or written off state assets such as police stations. Many of these buildings related to bodies or agencies that were never required to pay rates.

Recovery of Mining Tenement Rates – A mandatory settlement process for mining tenements should be investigated. It is currently a very onerous process apportioning rates to individuals or companies that change interest in a tenement or just declare termination or bankruptcy. There is limited opportunity to seek money that is due as a company can cancel their tenements and become insolvent well before the local government is aware through Landgate. Unlike a rate base of residential properties this makes forecasting difficult. DMIRS would be aware well in advance of situations or changes that could significantly affect local governments.

The imposition of mining infrastructure GRV requires the involvement of Landgate, DLGSC and a local government. This process needs to have better clarity in legislation. It is one of the few situations where it is not the responsibility of Landgate to determine the ownership or postal details of the "property" on a valuation roll.

With reforms of this scale the Department should investigate some of the more arbitrary monetary thresholds that exist in current legislation which have not been updated for some time.

The prescribed value of an abandoned vehicle wreck is comical. Under 29A Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 a nonoperational, windowless and tyreless vehicle that has been set on fire could still be sold for more than \$200 in scrap metal. Abandoned vehicles essentially cannot be touched under this legislation.

The limit on trade in disposals seems particularly arbitrary. A light vehicle trade where the purchase is under \$75,000 is exempt from tender as per 30(3)(b) of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996. Advantages are often negligent when opening up the sale of a grader to public tender compared to the price offered by a dealer alongside the purchase of a new grader which has a price point closer to \$300,000. There is significant consideration given to spending ratepayer money but a lot less legislated practicality or scrutiny is applied to Council disposals that are not land.

Theme 1: Early Intervention, Effective Regulation and Stronger Penalties

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS	
1.1 Early Intervention Powers	1.1 Early Intervention Powers		
 The Act provides the means to regulate the conduct of local government staff and council members and sets out powers to scrutinise the affairs of local government. The Act provides certain limited powers to: Suspend or dismiss councils Appoint Commissioners Suspend or, order remedial action (such as training) for individual councillors. The Act also provides the Director General with the power to: Conduct Authorised Inquiries Refer allegations of serious or recurrent breaches to the State Administrative Tribunal Commence prosecution for an offence under the Act. Authorised Inquiries are a costly and a relatively slow response to significant issues. Authorised Inquiries are currently the only significant tool for addressing significant issues within a local government. The Panel Report, City of Perth Inquiry, and the Select Committee Report made various recommendations related to the establishment of a specific office for local government oversight. 	 It is proposed to establish a Chief Inspector of Local Government (the Inspector), supported by an Office of the Local Government Inspector (the Inspectorate). The Inspector would receive minor and serious complaints about elected members. The Inspector would oversee complaints relating to local government CEOs. Local Governments would still be responsible for dealing with minor behavioural complaints. The Inspector would have powers of a standing inquiry, able to investigate and intervene in any local government where potential issues are identified. The Inspector would have the authority to assess, triage, refer, investigate, or close complaints, having regard to various public interest criteria – considering laws such as the Corruption, Crime and Misconduct Act 2003, the Occupational Safety and Health Act 1984, the Building Act 2011, and other legislation. The Inspector would have powers to implement minor penalties for less serious breaches of the Act, with an appeal mechanism. The Inspector would also have the power to order a local government to address non-compliance with the Act or Regulations. The Inspector would be supported by a panel of Local Government Monitors (see item 1.2). The existing Local Government Standards Panel would be replaced with a new Conduct Panel (see item 1.3). Penalties for breaches to the Local Government Act and Regulations will be reviewed and are proposed to be generally strengthened (see item 1.4). 	This will promote good conduct and increase external oversight for both local government employees and elected members. Suitable checks and balances and similar public interest disclosures to CEOs and elected members should be considered for these positions to hold trust and prevent conflicts of interest. Why would one set of rules be suitable for local government employees but not state government employees? Agencies and processes already exist for conduct or actions relating to the legislation mentioned. This should simply be referral for OSH etc. If powers such as calling Council meetings is required to address an issue or repair relationships why do those powers not exist for a CEO or elected member?	

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 These reforms would be supported by new powers to more quickly resolve issues within local government (see items 1.5 and 1.6). 	Would an appeal process be to a Panel, the Department or the same Monitor/Inspector?
		Will this come at a cost to local government? Will it be funded by the Department at the expense of grants or funded entirely be the State Government?
1.2 Local Government Monitors		
 There are currently no legislative powers for the provision of monitors/ temporary advisors. The DLGSC provides support and advice to local governments, however there is no existing mechanism for pre-qualified, specialised assistance to manage complex cases. 	 established. Monitors could be appointed by the Inspector to go into a local government and try to resolve problems. 	Supported The Minister has explained that costs would be the burden of local governments rather than the state. Where the local government requests a monitor will there be any procurement checks and balances? Could they have solved the problem cheaper with a consultant on the open market and achieved a better outcome for ratepayers? Would requests to the Inspector be at their discretion case by case or will a framework be developed, for complex issues that have been difficult to resolve internally?

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	Local governments would be able to make requests to the Inspector to appoint Monitors for a specific purpose.	
	Monitor Case Study 1 – Financial Management	
	The Inspector receives information that a local government is not collecting rates correctly under the <i>Local Government Act</i> 1995. Upon initial review, the Inspector identifies that there may be a problem. The Inspector appoints a Monitor who specialises in financial management in local government. The Monitor visits the local government and identifies that the system used to manage rates is not correctly issuing rates notices. The Monitor works with the local government to rectify the error, and issue corrections to impacted ratepayers.	
	Monitor Case Study 2 – Dispute Resolution	
	The Inspector receives a complaint from one councillor that another councillor is repeatedly publishing derogatory personal attacks against another councillor on social media, and that the issue has not been able to be resolved at the local government level. The Inspector identifies that there has been a relationship breakdown between the two councillors due to a disagreement on council.	
	The Inspector appoints a Monitor to host mediation sessions between the councillors. The Monitor works with the councillors to address the dispute. Through regular meetings, the councillors agree to a working relationship based on the council's code of conduct. After the mediation, the Monitor occasionally makes contact with both councillors to ensure there is a cordial working relationship between the councillors.	
1.3 Conduct Panel		
The Local Government Standards Panel was established in 2007 to resolve minor breach complaints relatively quickly and	The Standards Panel is proposed to be replaced with a new Local Government Conduct Panel.	Supported

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 provide the sector with guidance and benchmarks about acceptable standards of behaviour. Currently, the Panel makes findings about alleged breaches based on written submissions. The City of Perth Inquiry report made various recommendations that functions of the Local Government Standards Panel be reformed. 	 The Conduct Panel would be comprised of suitably qualified and experienced professionals. Sitting councillors will not be eligible to serve on the Conduct Panel. The Inspector would provide evidence to the Conduct Panel for adjudication. The Conduct Panel would have powers to impose stronger penalties – potentially including being able to suspend councillors for up to three months, with an appeal mechanism. For very serious or repeated breaches of the Local Government Act, the Conduct Panel would have the power to recommend prosecution through the courts. Any person who is subject to a complaint before the Conduct Panel would have the right to address the Conduct Panel before the Panel makes a decision. 	Hopefully the enactment of penalties, rather that judgements with no consequences will prove effective. Who is operating the panel if not elected officials? What checks will exist to stop state government staff silencing Councillors and how will this be handled transparently?
1.4 Review of Penalties		
There are currently limited penalties in the Act for certain types of non-compliance with the Local Government Act.	 Penalties for breaching the Local Government Act are proposed to be strengthened. It is proposed that the suspension of councillors (for up to three months) is established as the main penalty where a councillor breaches the Local Government Act or Regulations on more than one occasion. Councillors who are disqualified would not be eligible for sitting fees or allowances. They will also not be able to attend meetings, or use their official office (such as their title or council email address). It is proposed that a councillor who is suspended multiple times may become disqualified from office. Councillors who do not complete mandatory training within a certain timeframe will also not be able to receive sitting fees or allowances. 	Supported Timeframes will have to allow for budgeting and be realistic for regional Shires and Councillors. It would be hoped that assistance was enacted (monitors/guidance/templates) prior to new non-compliance penalties (a grace period).
1.5 Rapid Red Card Resolutions		

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 Currently, local governments have different local laws and standing orders that govern the way meetings run. Presiding members (Mayors and Presidents) are reliant on the powers provided in the local government standing orders local laws. Differences between local governments is a source of confusion about the powers that presiding members have to deal with disruptive behaviours at council meetings. Disruptive behaviour at council meetings is a very common cause of complaints. Having the Presiding Member be able to deal with these problems should more quickly resolve problems that occur at council meetings. 	 It is proposed that Standing Orders are made consistent across Western Australia (see item 2.6). Published recordings of all meetings would also become standard (item 3.1). It is proposed that Presiding Members have the power to "red card" any attendee (including councillors) who unreasonably and repeatedly interrupt council meetings. This power would: Require the Presiding Member to issue a clear first warning If the disruptions continue, the Presiding Member will have the power to "red card" that person, who must be silent for the rest of the meeting. A councillor issued with a red card will still vote, but must not speak or move motions If the person continues to be disruptive, the Presiding Member can instruct that they leave the meeting. Any Presiding Member who uses the "red card" or ejection power will be required to notify the Inspector. Where an elected member refuses to comply with an instruction to be silent or leave, or where it can be demonstrated that the presiding member has not followed the law in using these powers, penalties can be imposed through a review by the Inspector. 	Changes should not result in an increased administration burden as it contradicts red tape reduction. Further consultation on proposed standing orders is important and a tiered approach should be considered. Oversight of the red card power will be important in the spirit of democracy and the Minister has explained there will be a well defined process to follow. While "repeatedly" is easily defined in context, "unreasonably" would require a clearly defined definition. Nothing is described for situations where the Presiding Member uses this power inappropriately or for when a Council believe the Presiding Member is causing disruption.
1.6 Vexatious Complaint Referrals		
 No current provisions. The Act already provides a requirement for Public Question Time at council meetings. 	 Local governments already have a general responsibility to provide ratepayers and members of the public with assistance in responding to queries about the local government's operations. Local governments should resolve 	Supported If the inspectorate rules a complaint as vexatious what is to

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 queries and complaints in a respectful, transparent and equitable manner. Unfortunately, local government resources can become unreasonably diverted when a person makes repeated vexatious queries, especially after a local government has already provided a substantial response to the person's query. It is proposed that if a person makes repeated complaints to a local government CEO that are vexatious, the CEO will have the power to refer that person's complaints to the Inspectorate, which after assessment of the facts may then rule the complaint vexatious. 	stop or deter the individual from wasting more of the local governments resources or providing an unsafe work environment for Senior Staff? "members of the public" should have to demonstrate their interest for complaints and public question time. This would make it easier to identify complaints of a vexatious nature across different local governments.
1.7 Minor Other Reforms		
 Other minor reforms are being considered to enhance the oversight of local government. Ministerial Circulars have traditionally been used to provide guidance to the local government sector. 	governments are being considered.	Guidance notes should only be used to provide better interpretation of adopted legislation. It should not be used to introduce new requirements to the sector after legislation has been passed. This can be seen in the Health space by the use of "directions". A tiered approach may be important for guidance across local governments of different sizes.
		Officer workers in Perth may place unrealistic expectations on rural

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
		local governments, however considered guidance notes and templates would be appreciated.
		Requests for comment should be on a detailed reform. Does this mean the sector will not be consulted about "other potential reforms" that have not been detailed?

Theme 2: Reducing Red Tape, Increasing Consistency and Simplicity

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS	
2.1 Resource Sharing	2.1 Resource Sharing		
 The Act does not currently include specific provisions to allow for certain types of resource sharing – especially for sharing CEOs. Regional local governments would benefit from having clearer mechanisms for voluntary resource-sharing. 	 Amendments are proposed to encourage and enable local governments, especially smaller regional local governments, to share resources, including Chief Executive Officers and senior employees. Local governments in bands 2, 3 or 4 would be able to appoint a shared CEO at up to two salary bands above the highest band. For example, a band 3 and a band 4 council sharing a CEO could remunerate to the level of band 1. 	Other examples of resource sharing such as financial or other contract services would be appreciated, much like CESM arrangements. Has a recognised dispute process been examined when two Councils in an agreement no longer agree. There are many assumptions to this such as 2 Councils dealing with similar issues. If this assumption does not hold you may be paying one person double to do half the job. How does this equate to savings for ratepayers? The Minister is only able to provide one example where this is working well after many failed attempts. Some in the sector believe this is a precursor to amalgamations.	
2.2 Standardisation of Crossovers	2.2 Standardisation of Crossovers		
Approvals and standards for crossovers (the section of driveways that run between the kerb and private property) are inconsistent between local government areas, often with very minor differences.	 It is proposed to amend the Local Government (Uniform Local Provisions) Regulations 1996 to standardise the process for approving crossovers for residential properties and residential developments on local roads. A Crossover Working Group has provided preliminary advice to the Minister and DLGSC to inform this. 	Provided there is consideration for regional areas and further disparity is not created for Shires with rural or semi-rural residential.	

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 This can create confusion and complexity for homeowners and small businesses in the construction sector. 	The DLGSC will work with the sector to develop standardised design and construction standards.	
2.3 Introduce Innovation Provisions		
The Local Government Act 1995 currently has very limited provisions to allow for innovations and responses to emergencies to (such as the Shire of Bruce Rock Supermarket).	 New provisions are proposed to allow exemptions from certain requirements of the <i>Local Government Act 1995</i>, for: Short-term trials and pilot projects Urgent responses to emergencies. 	What are the exemptions? Will they make it easier to respond to an emergency or provide new ways for officers to be tripped up?
Supermarkety.		Very limited information has been provided on this point considering the many commercial, health, tourism or land projects Councils are regularly requested to engage in.
		Where a local government provides or subsidises a service as a last resort (distance or statistics are too low to receive state funding or intervention), will further changes allow for easier commercial operation of a medical centre or daycare for example?
2.4 Streamline Local Laws		
 Local laws are required to be reviewed every eight years. The review of local laws (especially when they are standard) has been identified as a burden for the sector. 	 It is proposed that local laws would only need to be reviewed by the local government every 15 years. Local laws not reviewed in the timeframe would lapse, meaning that old laws will be automatically removed and no longer applicable. Local governments adopting Model Local Laws will have reduced advertising requirements. 	Supported. Regional working groups could assist in ensuring that model local laws are fit for purpose.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
Inconsistency between local laws is frustrating for residents and business stakeholders.		
2.5 Simplifying Approvals for Small Business	and Community Events	
Inconsistency between local laws and approvals processes for events, street activation, and initiatives by local businesses is frustrating for business and local communities.	 Proposed reforms would introduce greater consistency for approvals for: alfresco and outdoor dining minor small business signage rules running community events. 	As long as there is engagement to develop rules for events and signage. The health guidelines (which leave much discretion for Environmental Health Officers) are often not practical for regional areas. It would be counterproductive to be stuck with "An ambulance is required to hold a market day and sell cupcakes because you are 200+km from a primary healthcare facility". Differentiated service models in regional areas need to be better understood by people who only know what it's like to live in a metropolitan area.
2.6 Standardised Meeting Procedures, Includi	ng Public Question Time	
 Local governments currently prepare individual standing order local laws. The Local Government Act 1995 and regulations require local governments to allocate time at meetings for questions from the public. Inconsistency among the meeting procedures between local governments is a common source of complaints. 	 To provide greater clarity for ratepayers and applicants for decisions made by council, it is proposed that the meeting procedures and standing orders for all local government meetings, including for public question time, are standardised across the State. Regulations would introduce standard requirements for public question time, and the procedures for meetings generally. 	Standardisation for this function makes logical sense however as standardisation increases it dilutes the ability of Councils to act for "their ratepayers" rather than all stakeholders. What is the point of grass roots democracy when all decisions are vetted by another level of government? The model

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	Members of the public across all local governments would have the same opportunities to address council and ask questions.	code of conduct was a compromise to this premise with a minimum standard that could be built on or adapted.
		People complain about speed limits and seatbelts. The argument that it results in complaints should not be used to justify less control over a Councils decision making process.
2.7 Regional Subsidiaries		
 Initiatives by multiple local governments may be managed through formal Regional Councils, or through less formal "organisations of councils", such as NEWROC and WESROC. These initiatives typically have to be managed by a lead local government. In 2016-17, provisions were introduced to allow for the formation of Regional Subsidiaries. Regional Subsidiaries can be formed in line with the Local Government (Regional Subsidiaries) Regulations 2017. So far, no Regional Subsidiary has been formed. 	 Work is continuing to consider how Regional Subsidiaries can be best established to: Enable Regional Subsidiaries to provide a clear and defined public benefit for people within member local governments Provide for flexibility and innovation while ensuring appropriate transparency and accountability of ratepayer funds Where appropriate, facilitate financing of initiatives by Regional Subsidiaries within a reasonable and defined limit of risk Ensure all employees of a Regional Subsidiary have the same employment conditions as those directly employed by member local governments. 	Red tape reduction and incentives in this area should be run tangentially to 2.1 Resource Sharing. Further commitments to no forced amalgamations may help to remove entrenched hesitancy for these schemes.

Theme 3: Greater Transparency & Accountability

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS	
3.1 Recordings and Live-Streaming of All Co.	3.1 Recordings and Live-Streaming of All Council Meetings		
 3.1 Recordings and Live-Streaming of All Core Currently, local governments are only required to make written minutes of meetings. While there is no legal requirement for livestreaming or video or audio recording of council meetings, many local governments now stream and record their meetings. Complaints relating to behaviours and decisions at meetings constitute a large proportion of complaints about local governments. Local governments are divided into bands with the largest falling in bands 1 and 2, and smaller local governments falling bands 3 and 4. The allocation of local governments into bands is determined by The Salaries and Allowances Tribunal based on factors¹ 	 It is proposed that all local governments will be required to record meetings. Band 1 and 2 local governments would be required to livestream meetings, and make video recordings available as public archives. Band 1 and 2 are larger local governments are generally located in larger urban areas, with generally very good telecommunications infrastructure, and many already have audio-visual equipment. Band 1 and 2 local governments would be required to livestream meetings, and make video recordings available as public archives. Several local governments already use platforms such as YouTube, Microsoft Teams, and Vimeo to stream and publish meeting recordings. Limited exceptions would be made for meetings held outside 	Extending or making permanent the current provisions for electronic attendance should be seriously considered. Significant cost to regional local governments. Meetings are regularly held outside the Council Chambers. Is hosting of recordings required in perpetuity or for a set amount of time? Hosting capacity may be limited or an additional cost. Small communities often do not request this as respect or trust exists for Councillors/Elected Officials.	
such as: Growth and development Strategic planning issues Demands and diversity of services provided to the community Total expenditure Population Staffing levels.	 the ordinary council chambers, where audio recordings may be used. Recognising their generally smaller scale, typically smaller operating budget, and potential to be in more remote locations, band 3 and 4 local governments would be required to record and publish audio recordings, at a minimum. These local governments would still be encouraged to livestream or video record meetings. All council meeting recordings would need to be published at the same time as the meeting minutes. Recordings of all confidential items would also need to be submitted to the DLGSC for archiving. 	Administration is in favour of recording meetings for clarity of minutes but it is also already an open public forum. Would procedures have to be developed that take into account poor satellite latency or a dropped connection? If there was a technological issue would a meeting have to be paused or postponed?	

¹ See page 3 of the <u>2018 Salaries and Allowance Tribunal Determination</u>

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
		Who would have chain of custody of confidential recordings particularly if that part of the meeting is closed to staff? Why should personal employment details or commercially sensitive information be made available to a state government agency?
		Could the Department accommodate hosting of Shire recordings or the State Library?
3.2 Recording All Votes in Council Minutes		
 A local government is only required to record which councillor voted for or against a motion in the minutes of that meeting if a request is made by an elected member at the time of the resolution during the meeting. The existing provision does not mandate transparency. 	To support the transparency of decision-making by councillors, it is proposed that the individual votes cast by all councillors for all council resolutions would be required to be published in the council minutes, and identify those for, against, on leave, absent or who left the chamber. Regulations would prescribe how votes are to be consistently minuted.	While this will create an additional administrative burden it is supported for transparency and good governance. It does however promote dissent of a Council Resolution which could further complicate a Councillors responsibility to promote/support the consensus or adopted decision of Council. This could significantly change decision making as the focus may shift from trying to obtain a consensus to personal ramifications and individual accountability. Will this result in the decision making process being more or less democratic?

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
		Councillors do not have the same resources and are not protected in the same way as politicians at other levels of government.
3.3 Clearer Guidance for Meeting Items that n	nay be Confidential	
 The Act currently provides broad definitions of what type of matters may be discussed as a confidential item. There is limited potential for review of issues managed as confidential items under the current legislation. 	 Recognising the importance of open and transparent decision-making, it is considered that confidential meetings and confidential meeting items should only be used in limited, specific circumstances. It is proposed to make the Act more specific in prescribing items that may be confidential, and items that should remain open to the public. Items not prescribed as being confidential could still be held as confidential items only with the prior written consent of the Inspector. All confidential items would be required to be audio recorded, with those recordings submitted to the DLGSC. 	In areas that have historically required greater clarity such as this, it could be beneficial for the DLGSC/Minister to have the ability to provide guidance or an informed interpretation of the Act rather than a local government being told to seek its own legal advice on the interpretation of legislation. How would the inspector determine consent for a confidential item? Why is it OK for unelected state officers to make this decision but not Elected Members representing the best interests of their community? How would submission to the DLGSC logistically occur and would the state government be liable for commercial in confidence dealings with a Council being leaked? Could this discourage

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
		businesses from working with Councils? Who would have jurisdiction for responding to Freedom of Information requests?
3.4 Additional Online Registers		
 Local governments are required to provide information to the community through annual reports, council minutes and the publication of information online. Consistent online publication of information can substitute for certain material in annual reports. Consistency in online reporting across the sector will provide ratepayers with better information. These registers supplement the simplification of financial statements in Theme 6. 	 It is proposed to require local governments to report specific information in online registers on the local government's website. Regulations would prescribe the information to be included. The following new registers, each updated quarterly, are proposed: Lease Register to capture information about the leases the local government is party to (either as lessor or lessee) Community Grants Register to outline all grants and funding provided by the local government Interests Disclosure Register which collates all disclosures made by elected members about their interests related to matters considered by council Applicant Contribution Register accounting for funds collected from applicant contributions, such as cash-in-lieu for public open space and car parking 	In small local governments the one or two times this information is requested could easily be provided over the phone or by email as required. Please reconsider the quarterly update as this presents another administrative burden and will likely result in website training for governance staff as a minimum of additional expenditure. Multiple new forms that all describe NIL Returns is a perfect example of Red Tape. A competitive procurement process already exists. What is the justification for a contract register

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 Contracts Register that discloses all contracts above \$100,000. 	when this information already exists in other forms?
		With the publishing of more and more information about Councillors and CEOs a distinction needs to be made between knowing the biases of a Councillor that you meet as one of 50,000 ratepayers and being able to infer private circumstances because you know everyone in your town of 250 people. The same can be said for contract employees.
3.5 Chief Executive Officer Key Performance	Indicators (KPIs) be Published	
 It is a requirement of the Local Government Act 1995 that CEO performance reviews are conducted annually. The Model Standards for CEO recruitment and selection, performance review and termination require that a local government must review the performance of the CEO against contractual performance criteria. Additional performance criteria can be used for performance review by agreement between both parties. 	 To provide for minimum transparency, it is proposed to mandate that the KPIs agreed as performance metrics for CEOs: Be published in council meeting minutes as soon as they are agreed prior to (before the start of the annual period) The KPIs and the results be published in the minutes of the performance review meeting (at the end of the period) The CEO has a right to provide written comments to be published alongside the KPIs and results to provide context as may be appropriate (for instance, the impact of events in that year that may have influenced the results against KPIs). 	The CEO is employed by the Council. This proposal helps with accountability for both parties but also makes public a component of the CEOs contract of employment. Where is this level of accountability for roles in the state sector? Where vexatious complaints or disagreements with KPIs are levelled against the CEO can these be automatically referred to the Inspector?
		Without making the remainder of a contract public this does not accurately reflect the other functions expected by Councils of

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
		CEOs or their involvement in a community.
		There is no description of this requirement in the proposed Councillor or CEO Roles.
		Staff growth and development should be managed and discussed by the employer and employee not the wider community.
		This may curtail the use of general principals or less quantifiable projects such as cultural change rather than simple finite projects with an easily determined metric.

Theme 4: Stronger Local Democracy and Community Engagement

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS	
4.1 Community and Stakeholder Engagement	4.1 Community and Stakeholder Engagement Charters		
 There is currently no requirement for local governments to have a specific engagement charter or policy. Many local governments have introduced charters or policies for how they will engage with their community. Other States have introduced a specific requirement for engagement charters. 	 It is proposed to introduce a requirement for local governments to prepare a community and stakeholder engagement charter which sets out how local government will communicate processes and decisions with their community. A model Charter would be published to assist local governments who wish to adopt a standard form. 	In smaller Shires, ratepayers and the public often have direct access to the CEO and there is regular community engagement. This creates additional compliance and red tape. As this proposal does not describe a tiered approach it shows a significant disconnect for smaller Councils where Elected Members likely known the vast majority of residents by name.	
4.2 Ratepayer Satisfaction Surveys (Band 1 a	nd 2 local governments only)		
 Many local governments already commission independent surveying consultants to hold a satisfaction survey of residents/ratepayers. These surveys provide valuable data on the performance of local governments. 	 It is proposed to introduce a requirement that every four years, all local governments in bands 1 and 2 hold an independently-managed ratepayer satisfaction survey. Results would be required to be reported publicly at a council meeting and published on the local government's website. All local governments would be required to publish a response to the results. 	4 years is a significant gap and does not allow for trends to be examined under 2 potentially different Councils where the resources exist to do so. As there is accountability from elections should this not remain the decision of a Council.	
4.3 Introduction of Preferential Voting			
 The current voting method for local government elections is first past the post. The existing first-past-the-post does not allow for electors to express more than one preference. 	 Preferential voting is proposed be adopted as the method to replace the current first past the post system in local government elections. In preferential voting, voters number candidates in order of their preferences. 	This would likely not improve or alter the outcome for small Shires. All it does is change the focus from "who would you like to represent you" to "who would you least like to represent you". Additional vote	

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 The candidate with the most votes wins, even if that candidate does not have a majority. Preferential voting better captures the precise intentions of voters and as a result may be regarded as a fairer and more representative system. Voters have more specific choice. 	 Preferential voting is used in State and Federal elections in Western Australia (and in other states). This provides voters with more choice and control over who they elect. All other states use a form of preferential voting for local government. 	complexity for both constituents and the count process which could result in less participation. What would be the importance of an absolute majority if voter turnout is only 15-60%? If the candidate with the lowest number of primary votes is excluded first this creates much complexity for a likely similar outcome to first past the post. Comment should be sought on an actual process for multiple candidates when compared to the State and Federal single candidate.
4.4 Public Vote to Elect the Mayor and Presid	ent	
 The Act currently allows local governments to have the Presiding Member (the Mayor or President) elected either: by the electors of the district through a public vote; or by the council as a resolution at a council meeting. 	important public leadership role within their local communities.	

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 The number of councillors (between 5-15 councillors) is decided by each local government, reviewed by the Local Government Advisory Board, and if approved by the Minister. The Panel Report recommended electoral reforms to improve representativeness. 	 It is proposed to limit the number of councillors based on the population of the entire local government. Some smaller local governments have already been moving to having smaller councils to reduce costs for ratepayers. The Local Government Panel Report proposed: For a population of up to 5,000 – five councillors (including the President) population of between 5,000 and 75,000 – five to nine councillors (including the Mayor/President) population of above 75,000 – nine to fifteen councillors (including Mayor). 	Will significantly effect dynamics of some Councils. Quorums may be unachievable at seasonal times of the year in regional areas. Absolute Majority matches quorum. It will reduce representation and increase the external responsibilities of remaining Councillors — DAP, WALGA Zones, Regional Road Groups. Councillors are required to travel long distances and spend time away from work and family already often only for reimbursement. Does an \$5000-\$10,000 saving equate to one less commercial, aboriginal or female voice on Council. This is where standardisation can harm rather than help.
4.6 No Wards for Small Councils (Band 3 and	4 Councils only)	

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 A local government can make an application to be divided into wards, with councillors elected to those wards. Only about 10% of band 3 and 4 local governments currently have wards. 	 It is proposed that the use of wards for councils in bands 3 and 4 is abolished. Wards increase the complexity of elections, as this requires multiple versions of ballot papers to be prepared for a local government's election. In smaller local governments, the population of wards can be very small. These wards often have councillors elected unopposed, or elect a councillor with a very small number of votes. Some local governments have ward councillors elected with less than 50 votes. There has been a trend in smaller local governments looking to reduce the use of wards, with only 10 councils in bands 3 and 4 still having wards. 	Investigation should be made for multiple towns with different demographics and issues. This is less of an issue in geographically close metropolitan suburbs where you can easily travel to another service or point of amenity. Where the population of one town sits at 51% or the majority they have the power to overwhelm a Councils numbers and not represent any issues from outside their respective block. There is no recourse at future elections. Councils are supposed to be representative and act in the interest of all ratepayers which is different to party based politics who act on behalf of the majority across a much wider scope and can make election promises based on party policies. Individual campaigning can help but if a campaign is run which has the focus of "only vote for candidates from our town" it is highly likely to be successful in tight knit towns with rivalries.
4.7 Electoral Reform – Clear Lease Requireme	ents for Candidate and Voter Eligibility	

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 A person with a lease in a local government district is eligible to nominate as a candidate in that district. A person with a lease in a local government district is eligible to apply to vote in that district. The City of Perth Inquiry Report identified a number of instances where dubious lease arrangements put to question the validity of candidates in local government elections, and subsequently their legitimacy as councillors. 	 Reforms are proposed to prevent the use of "sham leases" in council elections. Sham leases are where a person creates a lease only to be able to vote or run as a candidate for council. The City of Perth Inquiry Report identified sham leases as an issue. Electoral rules are proposed to be strengthened: A minimum lease period of 12 months will be required for anyone to register a person to vote or run for council. Home based businesses will not be eligible to register a person to vote or run for council, because any residents are already the eligible voter(s) for that address. Clarifying the minimum criteria for leases eligible to register a person to vote or run for council. The reforms would include minimum lease periods to qualify as a registered business (minimum of 12 months), and the exclusion of home based businesses (where the resident is already eligible) and very small sub-leases. The basis of eligibility for each candidate (e.g. type of property and suburb of property) is proposed to be published, including in the candidate pack for electors. 	How will this affect tenement leases? Will a mining company have to hold the lease in the same name for more than 12 months? The onus/cost should not be on the local government to ensure compliance or check leases. Is this proposed to be a statutory declaration process with powers to investigate if required?
4.8 Reform of Candidate Profiles		
Candidate profiles can only be 800 characters, including spaces. This is equivalent to approximately 150 words.	 Further work will be undertaken to evaluate how longer candidate profiles could be accommodated. Longer candidate profiles would provide more information to electors, potentially through publishing profiles online. It is important to have sufficient information available to assist electors make informed decisions when casting their vote. 	Where the administrative burden is minimal such as an extra page or two on a Shire Website (which is the same for all Councillors) this should be accommodated. It should not however be the responsibility of Administration to publish and promote the election platform of a Candidate as other avenues such

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
4.9 Minor Other Electoral Reforms		as social media or public engagement already exist. Disparity can be caused if candidates with significant funds can afford more targeted advertising such as Facebook or printed promotional material. Character count benefits the WAEC but not Councils conducting elections without electronic means.
Other minor reforms are proposed to improve local government elections.	 Minor other electoral reforms are proposed to include: The introduction of standard processes for vote recounts if there is a very small margin between candidates (e.g. where there is a margin of less than 10 votes a recount will always be required) The introduction of more specific rules concerning local government council candidates' use of electoral rolls. 	More information required to comment.

Theme 5: Clear Roles and Responsibilities

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
5.1 Introduce Principles in the Act		
 The Act does not currently outline specific principles. The Act contains a short "Content and Intent" section only. The Panel Report recommended greater articulation of principles 5.2 Greater Role Clarity 	 It is proposed to include new principles in the Act, including: The recognition of Aboriginal Western Australians Tiering of local governments (with bands being as assigned by the Salaries and Allowances Tribunal) Community Engagement Financial Management. 	Supported Inclusion of principles are supported. Will these principles see a reduction in specific regulations for Community Engagement and Financial Management?
 The Act provides for the role of council, councillor, mayor or president and CEO. The role of the council is to: govern the local government's affairs be responsible for the performance of the local government's functions. 	 The Local Government Act Review Panel recommended that roles and responsibilities of elected members and senior staff be better defined in law. It is proposed that these roles and responsibilities are further defined in the legislation. These proposed roles will be open to further consultation and input. These roles would be further strengthened through Council Communications Agreements (see item 5.3). 	Supported Will a grace period be provided where these roles and responsibilities differ from existing senior staff contracts?
	 It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of the Mayor or President. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the Mayor or President is responsible for: Representing and speaking on behalf of the whole council and the local government, at all times being consistent with the resolutions of council Facilitating the democratic decision-making of council by presiding at council meetings in accordance with the Act 	Supported Further consultation should develop the differences between Regional and Metropolitan Western Australia and not affect the delegated operational duties that already exist with respect to communications such as social media etc.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 Developing and maintaining professional working relationships between councillors and the CEO Performing civic and ceremonial duties on behalf of the local government Working effectively with the CEO and councillors in overseeing the delivery of the services, operations, initiatives and functions of the local government. 	
	5.2.2 - Council Role	Supported
	 It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of the Council, which is the entity consisting of all of the councillors and led by the Mayor or President. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the Council is responsible for: Making significant decisions and determining policies through democratic deliberation at council meetings Ensuring the local government is adequately resourced to deliver the local governments operations, services and functions - including all functions that support informed decision-making by council Providing a safe working environment for the CEO; Providing strategic direction to the CEO; Monitoring and reviewing the performance of the local government. 	
	5.2.3 - Elected Member (Councillor) Role	Supported
	 It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of all elected councillors. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that every elected councillor is responsible for: 	Councillor or Shire President is a role of distinction and public service. The prefix or Shire resources should not be used in a business or private capacity but are still important for a person representing

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 Considering and representing, fairly and without bias, the current and future interests of all people who live, work and visit the district (including for councillors elected for a particular ward) Positively and fairly contribute and apply their knowledge, skill, and judgement to the democratic decision-making process of council Applying relevant law and policy in contributing to the decision-making of the council Engaging in the effective forward planning and review of the local governments' resources, and the performance of its operations, services, and functions Communicating the decisions and resolutions of council to stakeholders and the public Developing and maintaining professional working relationships with all other councillors and the CEO Maintaining and developing their knowledge and skills relevant to local government Facilitating public engagement with local government. It is proposed that elected members should not be able to use their title (e.g. "Councillor", "Mayor", or "President") and associated resources of their office (such as email address) unless they are performing their role in their official capacity. 	their community in a public capacity whether an official duty or not. If this is proposed it needs to be clearly defined and include a penalty otherwise it is more red tape without consequence. Should it not be a role of a Councillor to support or promote the decisions of the Council?
	5.2.4 - CEO Role	Supported
	• The Local Government Act 1995 requires local governments to employ a CEO to run the local government administration and implement the decisions of council.	Undertake Continued Professional Development to Maintain or Support these functions.
	 To provide greater clarity, it is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of all local government CEOs. 	Requires further specifics for Managing the effective delivery-Human Resources/Employee Relations. The remainder of a

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the CEO of a local government is responsible for: Coordinating the professional advice and assistance necessary for all elected members to enable the council to perform its decision-making functions Facilitating the implementation of council decisions Ensuring functions and decisions lawfully delegated by council are managed prudently on behalf of the council Managing the effective delivery of the services, operations, initiatives and functions of the local government determined by the council Providing timely and accurate information and advice to all councillors in line with the Council Communications Agreement (see item 5.3) Overseeing the compliance of the operations of the local government with State and Federal legislation on behalf of the council Implementing and maintaining systems to enable effective planning, management, and reporting on behalf of the council. 	Councils workforce (the people) is the responsibility of the CEO. To sum this up as operations and functions ignores this important element and underpinning responsibility.
5.3 Council Communication Agreements		
 The Act provides that council and committee members can have access to any information held by the local government that is relevant to the performance of the member in their functions. The availability of information is sometimes a source of conflict within local governments. 	Agreements between Ministers and agencies that set standards for how information and advice will be provided. It is proposed that local governments will need to have Council Communications Agreements between the council and the CEO.	Supported Flexibility is required. In smaller Shires there may not be the option to delegate and requests for information would have to be balanced against operational management of the local government.

PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 A template would be published by DLGSC. This default template will come into force if a council and CEO do not make a specific other agreement within a certain timeframe following any election. 	
tion Contributions for Elected Members	
 It is proposed that local governments should be able to decide, through a vote of council, to pay superannuation contributions for elected members. These contributions would be additional to existing allowances. Superannuation is widely recognised as an important entitlement to provide long term financial security. Other states have already moved to allow councils to make superannuation contributions for councillors. Allowing council to provide superannuation is important part of encouraging equality for people represented on council – particularly for women and younger people. Providing superannuation to councillors recognises that the commitment to elected office can reduce a person's opportunity to undertake employment and earn superannuation contributions. 	Supported on a voluntary basis Utilisation of this should be treated in the same way as reimbursement. Ie where the Council Position is full time and the elected member has given up employment in another capacity. Not as a contribution on top of another source such as paid leave from employment. Also not paid on top of non-taxable reimbursements such as travel.
ion Allowances	
 Local governments will have the option of contributing to the education expenses for councillors, up to a defined maximum value, for tuition costs for further education that is directly related to their role on council. Councils will be able to decide on a policy for education expenses, up to a maximum yearly value for each councillor. Councils may also decide not to make this entitlement available to elected members. 	If it is a decision of Council to support this action or not, should it then be left out of legislation? It would be sensible to include a requirement for the provision or budgeting of mandatory training. You could be in a situation where mandatory training is required by 2
	 A template would be published by DLGSC. This default template will come into force if a council and CEO do not make a specific other agreement within a certain timeframe following any election. It is proposed that local governments should be able to decide, through a vote of council, to pay superannuation contributions for elected members. These contributions would be additional to existing allowances. Superannuation is widely recognised as an important entitlement to provide long term financial security. Other states have already moved to allow councils to make superannuation contributions for councillors. Allowing council to provide superannuation is important part of encouraging equality for people represented on council – particularly for women and younger people. Providing superannuation to councillors recognises that the commitment to elected office can reduce a person's opportunity to undertake employment and earn superannuation contributions. Local governments will have the option of contributing to the education expenses for councillors, up to a defined maximum value, for tuition costs for further education that is directly related to their role on council. Councils will be able to decide on a policy for education expenses, up to a maximum yearly value for each councillor. Councils may also decide not to make this

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 Any allowance would only be able to be used for tuition fees for courses, such as training programs, diplomas, and university studies, which relate to local government. Where it is made available, this allowance will help councillors further develop skills to assist with making informed decisions on important questions before council, and also provide professional development opportunities for councillors. 	budget by the remaining Councillors.
5.6 Standardised Election Caretaker period		
 There is currently no requirement for a formal caretaker period, with individual councils operating under their own policies and procedures. This is commonly a point of public confusion. 	 A statewide caretaker period for local governments is proposed. All local governments across the State would have the same clearly defined election period, during which: Councils do not make major decisions with criteria to be developed defining 'major' Incumbent councillors who nominate for re-election are not to represent the local government, act on behalf of the council, or use local government resources to support campaigning activities. There are consistent election conduct rules for all candidates. 	This will result in inefficiency. Potentially 1-3 meetings every 2 years would have limited purpose and the meeting burden for new Councillors who are yet to undergo training could be significant. Nothing listed as major should affect an application by a member of the public. Due to the limited frequency of some operations smaller Councils do not have policies to govern or delegate decisions on all applications and this would create a very drawn out application process. Elections also have close proximity to budgets, audits and WALGA forums. There are also statutory timeframes for some decisions and feedback windows for DWER or DMIRS applications or Inquires have short timeframes as well.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
		Without a list of decisions that define "major" this proposal lacks all necessary detail to make informed comment.
5.7 Remove WALGA from the Act		
 The Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) is constituted under the Local Government Act 1995. The Local Government Panel Report and the Select Committee Report included this recommendation. 	 The Local Government Panel Report recommended that WALGA not be constituted under the Local Government Act 1995. Separating WALGA out of the Act will provide clarity that WALGA is not a State Government entity. 	Considering that their on hold phone message has to state they are not a government agency further civic education is required.
5.8 CEO Recruitment		
 Recent amendments introduced provisions to standardise CEO recruitment. The recruitment of a CEO is a very important decision by a local government. 	 It is proposed that DLGSC establishes a panel of approved panel members to perform the role of the independent person on CEO recruitment panels. Councils will be able to select an independent person from the approved list. Councils will still be able to appoint people outside of the panel with the approval of the Inspector. 	This is one of the single largest decisions a Council can make but it will now be mandated that state government has oversight and direct involvement in the process. The cost of this should not be worn
	Famous and Spp. and map map and map	by local governments as this is already a costly exercise.
		Independent in this context is a misnomer.

Theme 6: Improved Financial Management and Reporting

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS	
6.1 Model Financial Statements and Tiered Fi	6.1 Model Financial Statements and Tiered Financial Reporting		
 The financial statements published in the Annual Report is the main financial reporting currently published by local governments. Reporting obligations are the same for large (Stirling, Perth, Fremantle) and small (Sandstone, Wiluna, Dalwallinu) local governments, even though they vary significantly in complexity. The Office of the Auditor General has said that some existing reporting requirements are unnecessary or onerous - for instance, information that is not relevant to certain local governments, or that is a duplicate of other published information. 	 The Minister strongly believes in transparency and accountability in local government. The public rightly expects the highest standards of integrity, good governance, and prudent financial management in local government. It is critically important that clear information about the financial position of local governments is openly available to ratepayers. Financial information also supports community decision-making about local government services and projects. Local governments differ significantly in the complexity of their operations. Smaller local governments generally have much less operating complexity than larger local governments. The Office of the Auditor General has identified opportunities to improve financial reporting, to make statements clearer, and reduce unnecessary complexity. Recognising the difference in the complexity of smaller and larger local governments, it is proposed that financial reporting requirements should be tiered – meaning that larger local governments will have greater financial reporting requirements than smaller local governments. It is proposed to establish standard templates for Annual Financial Statements for band 1 and 2 councils, and simpler, clearer financial statements for band 3 and 4. Online Registers, updated quarterly (see item 3.4), would provide faster and greater transparency than current annual reports. Standard templates will be published for use by local governments. 	Supported This should be free to local governments and include monthly, budget and budget review as well as annual.	

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	Simpler Strategic and Financial Planning (item 6.2) would also improve the budgeting process.	
6.2 Simplify Strategic and Financial Planning		
 Requirements for plans are outlined in the Local Government Financial Management and Administration Regulations. There is also the Integrated Planning and Reporting (IPR) framework. While many councils successfully apply IPR to their budgeting and reporting, IPR may seem complicated or difficult, especially for smaller local governments. 	 Having clear information about the finances of local government is an important part of enabling informed public and ratepayer engagement and input to decision-making. The framework for financial planning should be based around information being clear, transparent, and easy to understand for all ratepayers and members of the public. In order to provide more consistency and clarity across the State, it is proposed that greater use of templates is introduced to make planning and reporting clearer and simpler, providing greater transparency for ratepayers. Local governments would be required to adopt a standard set of plans, and there will be templates published by the DLGSC for use or adaption by local governments. It is proposed that the plans that are required are: Simplified Council Plans that replace existing Strategic Community Plans and set high-level objectives, with a new plan required at least every eight years. These will be short-form plans, with a template available from the DLGSC Simplified Asset Management Plans to consistently forecast costs of maintaining the local government's assets. A new plan will be required at least every ten years, though local government gains or disposes of major assets (e.g. land, buildings, or roads). A template will be provided, and methods of valuations will be simplified to reduce red tape Simplified Long Term Financial Plans will outline any long term financial management and sustainability 	Smaller Councils may have recently expended significant resources to develop their strategic community plan. Redevelopment to short term should not be sooner than the expected life of existing SCP. For smaller Councils gains or disposals of most assets is major. This results in the AMP being legislated as a live document. AMP are a tool to determine the acceptable gap between idealised maintenance and accepted or usable service standards. Valuations and asset plans can often be complex. If you reduce aspects of the plan or valuations to a simplified generic formula you may as well forecast all Asset Management off historic financial expenditure and not bother assessing assets. Garbage in garbage out. The AMP informs the LTFP so there should be some form of alignment with reviews of these plans in the legislation. Where LTFPs will have major reviews every four years will they still cover a

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	issues, and any investments and debts. A template will be provided, and these plans will be required to be reviewed in detail at least every four years A new Rates and Revenue Policy (see item 6.3) that identifies the approximate value of rates that will need to be collected in future years (referencing the Asset Management Plan and Long Term Financial Plan) — providing a forecast to ratepayers (updated at least every four years) The use of simple, one-page Service Proposals and Project Proposals that outline what proposed services or initiatives will cost, to be made available through council meetings. These will become Service Plans and Project Plans added to the yearly budget if approved by council. This provides clear transparency for what the functions and initiatives of the local government cost to deliver. Templates will be available for use by local governments.	10 year period? 2.5 reviews per original plan? There is very limited information presented on Service Proposals. There is the potential if mandated to significantly increase workload for smaller Councils around budget time. Expenditure thresholds should be considered.
6.3 Rates and Revenue Policy		
 Local governments are not required to have a rates and revenue policy. Some councils defer rate rises, resulting in the eventual need to drastically raise rates to cover unavoidable costs – especially for the repair of infrastructure. 	 The Rates and Revenue Policy is proposed to increase transparency for ratepayers by linking rates to basic operating costs and the minimum costs for maintaining essential infrastructure. A Rates and Revenue Policy would be required to provide ratepayers with a forecast of future costs of providing local government services. The Policy would need to reflect the Asset Management Plan and the Long Term Financial Plan (see item 6.2), providing a forecast of what rates would need to be, to cover unavoidable costs. A template would be published for use or adaption by all local governments. 	Will the introduction of Rates and Revenue Policies reduce red tape around applying for differential and minimum rates or will is just create more red tape for the sector to make it easier for the Department to assess these applications?

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	• The <u>Local Government Panel Report</u> included this recommendation.	
6.4 Monthly Reporting of Credit Card Statement	ents	
 No legislative requirement. Disclosure requirements brought in by individual councils have shown significant reduction of expenditure of funds. 	 The statements of a local government's credit cards used by local government employees will be required to be tabled at council at meetings on a monthly basis. This provides oversight of incidental local government spending. 	Supported The use of cash or store credit presents more risk that a credit card. Practicality should not be diminished due to perceived bias that these financial tools are always abused or that the expenditure incurred is routinely unnecessary.
6.5 Amended Financial Ratios		
 Local governments are required to report seven ratios in their annual financial statements. These are reported on the MyCouncil website. These ratios are intended to provide an indication of the financial health of every local government. 	 Financial ratios will be reviewed in detail, building on work already underway by the DLGSC. The methods of calculating ratios and indicators will be reviewed to ensure that the results are accurate and useful. 	Supported
6.6 Audit Committees		

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS PROPOSED REFORMS COMMENTS To ensure independent oversight, it is proposed the Chair Cost sharing – ie one meeting for Local governments must establish an Audit of any Audit Committee be required to be an independent multiple Councils could result in Committee that has three or more persons, with the majority to be council members. person who is not on council or an employee of the local unreasonable delays as the OAG does not audit all Councils on the same The Audit Committee is to guide and assist government. schedule. Outsourced auditors are the local government in carrying out the Audit Committees would also need to consider proactive also not grouped to a region. This may local government's functions in relation to risk management. necessitate a change to the statutory audits conducted under the Act. • To reduce costs, it is proposed that local governments timelines for presentation of audits at The Panel Report identified that Audit should be able to establish shared Regional Audit an Electors Meeting etc. Committees should be expanded, including Committees. to provide improved risk management. The Committees would be able to include council members It is already difficult for regional but would be required to include a majority of independent Councils to obtain the services of members and an independent chairperson. suitably skilled independent members. Costs could be significant for travel reimbursements and independent not adequately members may understand each local governments individual circumstances. Even the OAG provides advice or recommendations based on biases from living and working in a metropolitan area that does not adequately translate to regional local governments. If it is believed that oversight of a transparent elected body should occur to the level of a majority independent skilled body why not make this a function of the OAG or the Inspector. 6.7 Building Upgrade Finance

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 The local government sector has sought reforms that would enable local governments to provide loans to property owners to finance for building improvements. This is not currently provided for under the Act. The Local Government Panel Report included this recommendation. 	 Reforms would allow local governments to provide loans to third parties for specific building improvements - such as cladding, heritage and green energy fixtures. This would allow local governments to lend funds to improve buildings within their district. Limits and checks and balances would be established to ensure that financial risks are proactively managed. 	This has enormous potential to be abused. If a local government has enough funds to operate as a bank or lending institution then their rating is too high. It should be a service of last resort and not undercut free enterprise. Even with existing self-supporting loans it is detrimental when small Shires have to deal with defaults.
6.8 Cost of Waste Service to be Specified on	Rates Notices	
 No requirement for separation of waste changes on rates notice. Disclosure will increase ratepayer awareness of waste costs. The Review Panel Report included this recommendation. 	 It is proposed that waste charges are required to be separately shown on rate notices (for all properties which receive a waste service). This would provide transparency and awareness of costs for ratepayers. 	Supported